

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises under the United States Constitution, and 42USC 1983. This Court has jurisdiction over civil rights cause of action pursuant to 28USC1343.
- 2. Plaintiff invokes supplemental jurisdiction of this Court under 28USC1367 and 42USC1988 over special damages and vindication causes of action.

VENUE

3. All acts herein took place within this judicial district, and the defendants are located within this district. Furthermore, the defendants' acts were committed under color of State law.

Therefore, venue is properly set herein.

PARTIES

- 4. Defendant PREIBIS is an employee of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, doing business as a 'branch manager' at the Brockton Registry of Motor Vehicles. She is sued in her individual capacity.
- 5. Defendant COLE is an officer of the Brockton Police Department. He is sued in his individual capacity.
- 6. Plaintiff David Cooke is the injured party, and a taxpayer doing business in Massachusetts, temporarily employed as a handicap van driver, required by Law to make contract with the Massachusetts Registry of Motor Vehicles.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Civil Rights Violations Against Both Defendants; Unreasonable Seizure; Denial of Due Process; United States Constitution)

- 7. On January 5th 2005, Plaintiff paid cash at the Brockton Registry for a duplicate driver's license, was given a receipt, and was told to wait 7-10 days for delivery of said duplicate license.
- 8. On January 7th 2005, less than 48 hours from purchase, Plaintiff appeared with receipt at the Brockton Registry to reverse the transaction for refund, in default of the (as yet) undelivered duplicate license.
- 9. When presented with said transaction reversal in good faith, Defendant PREIBIS refused to cooperate, refused to identify herself, refused to show cause for her actions, refused to speak, and refused to show any lawful authority to conduct business in such fashion.
- 10. Directed to have a seat at Defendant PREIBIS' desk by an assistant manager, Plaintiff simply waited for Defendant PREIBIS, who instead went into a private office for protracted discussion and telephone consultation.
- 11. Subsequently, rather than transact a simple refund, Defendant PREIBIS instead ordered Plaintiff to fill out an application form. Once again Defendant PREIBIS refused to show cause nor identify herself, walked away, and left Plaintiff seated alone before her desk.
- 12. Defendant COLE arrived in stealth with several officers, at first asking for Plaintiff by name, and then arguing with Plaintiff about Plaintiff's business at the Registry. In the ensuing interchange, Defendant COLE refused to show cause for his actions, refused to show authority to intervene in Plaintiff's personal business, failed to state his intentions and failed to produce lawful warrant nor signed oath or affirmation.
- 13. Thereupon, Defendant COLE, with several backup officers, summarily arrested Plaintiff under hearsay allegation of disturbing the peace and trespassing, thereby preventing the refund transaction at hand; this, during regular business hours at a State-operated monopoly where business is mandatory for all Massachusetts drivers.

- 14. Although serving 5.5 hours in shackled detention, Plaintiff has not been cited nor formally charged with any offense relating to the above incident. Instead, a 'discovery' hearing is set for March 1 2005.
- 15. The defendants' actions against Plaintiff comprise unreasonable seizure (false arrest w/out probable cause, nor oath, nor affirmation) in violation of Plaintiff's 4th Amendment rights under the United States Constitution.
- 16. The defendants' failure to afford Plaintiff any sort of probable cause hearing relating to Plaintiff's arrest is a violation of Plaintiff's 5th Amendment due process rights under the United States Constitution.
- 17. In committing the acts alleged herein, the defendants engaged in a scheme and conspiracy designed and intended to deny and deprive Plaintiff of rights guaranteed to him under the Constitution and the laws of the United States, particularly violating Plaintiff's rights against unreasonable seizure under the 4th Amendment, and rights to due process.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Unreasonable Seizure, Denial of Due Process under Massachusetts Constitution)

- 18. Paragraphs 1-17 are incorporated herein.
- 19. The defendants' actions are in violation of Plaintiff's rights against unreasonable seizure under the Massachusetts Constitution.
- 20. The defendants' actions are in violation of Plaintiff's due process rights under the Massachusetts Constitution.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Impairment of Contractual Obligation)

- 21. Paragraphs 1-20 are incorporated herein.
- 22. The defendants' actions against Plaintiff comprise an impairment of contractual obligation, in violation of Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, and in violation of 42USC1981.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Conspiracy)

- 23. Paragraphs 1-22 are incorporated herein.
- 24. By acting in premeditated stealth and private conversation, and by failing to notify Plaintiff of their intentions, the defendants engaged in an unlawful scheme and conspiracy to further deprive Plaintiff of his civil and constitutional rights. Hence the defendants are liable for additional damages under 42USC1985.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Prevent Civil Rights Violations)

- 25. Paragraphs 1-24 are incorporated herein.
- 26. As said conspiracy to violate Plaintiff's civil and constitutional rights, was both premeditated and, by definition, outside the defendants' official duties and responsibilities, then either or both defendants could easily have prevented said violations, simply by doing their jobs as prescribed by Law. Hence, the defendants are also liable for damages under 42USC1986.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (False Arrest; False Incarceration)

- 27. Paragraphs 1-26 are incorporated herein.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious, wanton, and willful acts of the defendants, separately and in concert, and acting under color of law; Plaintiff was intentionally and unlawfully arrested without his consent, and without probable cause; and thereby was deprived of rights guaranteed to him by the 1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, and 14th amendments to the United States Constitution; and Part I Article 10 of the Massachusetts Constitution. Plaintiff was thus deprived of his freedom, damaged in his reputation, embarassed, prevented from conducting his usual business prescribed by Law, prevented from meeting employment obligations and from earning wages, and caused to endure pain, suffering, and mental anguish.
- 29. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to recover additional damages and equitable relief under 42USC1981, 1983, 1985, 1986, and 1988.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Abuse of Process)

- 30. Paragraphs 1-29 are incorporated herein.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the deliberate and intentional acts of the defendants; Plaintiff was damaged by the defendants when they acted in malice, using the lawful criminal process to accomplish an unlawful purpose. Specifically, the initiation of criminal proceedings against Plaintiff, under false pretense and under color of law, with the intention of securing convictions, payments received from Plaintiff, and/or payments from prior convictions (if any), in collateral by holding Plaintiff hostage; thereby seeking to frustrate Plaintiff from pursuing the lawful remedies available to him by the United States Constitution, the Massachusetts Civil Rights Act, and the Uniform Commercial Code.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Special Damages Against Both Defendants)

- 32. Paragraphs 1-31 are incorporated herein.
- 33. If not for the acts committed by the defendants, this action would not be necessary.

 Plaintiff is indigent and has no funds to retain an attorney, and has been forced to expend his time and energy in order to seek redress of the violations stated herein. Said time and effort is, and will be, lost forever, and is not recoverable.
- 34. As a direct and proximate result of the defendants' actions, Plaintiff has been, and will be, damaged in the amount of time and effort he must exert in order to redress the stated damages caused by the defendants and prosecute this action to completion.
- 35. Plaintiff is entitled to a reasonable hourly rate for the lost time and energy necessary to prosecute this action.

PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE

- 36. Plaintiff has posession of lawful recording of all facts stated herein, in accordance with42USC1981, as well as the original receipt for cash paid in contract with the Brockton Registry.
- 37. Whereas Defendant PREIBIS is being sued in her individual capacity, is accountable for her own actions, is not above the Law, has no lawful authority to steal Plaintiff's money with

impunity, and has failed to show valid delegation of authority; she Is not qualified for immunity from this lawsuit as a State employee. For to claim such immunity, she would have to establish her actions as her official duties and responsibilities, somehow prescribed by the Massachusetts Registrar's Office, which would thereby be implicated with racketeering. As this would comprise a legal impossibility, Defendant PREIBIS has no lawful qualified immunity, prima facie ab initio.

38. Whereas Defendant COLE is being sued in his individual capacity is accountable for his own actions, is not above the Law, has no authority to practice Law from the Executive, and has failed to show lawful cause for his actions; he is not qualified for immunity from this lawsuit as a police officer. For to claim such immunity, he would have to establish his actions as official duties and responsibilities, somehow prescribed by the City of Brockton, which would thereby be liable for hiring police to perform acts of domestic terrorism, in violation of their oaths to office. As this would comprise a legal impossibility, Defendant COLE has no lawful qualified immunity, prima facie ab initio.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- 1. The Court determine and declare the defendants are in violation of of Plaintiff's federal constitutional rights;
- 2. The Court determine and declare the defendants are in violation of of Plaintiff's state constitutional rights;
- 3. For damages according to proof against both defendants jointly and severally;
- 4. For punitive damages against both defendants jointly and severally.
- 5. For recovery from lost wages, damages to professional standing, forfeited employment requiring ongoing business with the Massachusetts Registry of Motor Vehicles, and the original stolen advance cash payment to the Brockton Registry.
- 6. For a fee at the rate of \$50/hr for the time Plaintiff must spend prosecuting this case.
- 7. For the costs of this suit, all Court costs, and any further relief this Court deems just and proper.

DATE: 2-22-2005

Submitted by:

David Cooke, Plaintiff in pro se

c/o: 7 Westwood Rd

S. Easton MA [02375]

508.230.7415

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

Case 1:05-cv-10436-JLT_Document 2-2-Eiled 03/01/2005

Page 1 of 2 ATTACHMENT 2

The IS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEF INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		Pavid Cook		Marinan	TS	Laurie F Hermer f	-						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)													
(2) 111 1 (2) 11			U.S. (15) - (15)			MNATION CASES, USED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) David Cooke 7 Westword RJ 508. 230. 7415 S. Easton MM 02375 Attorney (If known) -													
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)													
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			α □ 11	EF DEF							
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ Defendant		4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			12 🗆	2 Incorporated and Principal 5 5 5 of Business In Another State							
				Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗆	3 Foreign Nation ☐ 6 ☐ 6							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	<u> </u>	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY F		SANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES						
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument		PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury — Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Propetty Damage Product Liability	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 422 ☐ 423	Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking						
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	0 0				PR6 □ 820 □ 830	28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights Patent Trademark	☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/						
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits				☐ 690 Other LABOR		CIAL SECURITY	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge						
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability				☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 □ 862	HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters						
REAL PROPERTY		CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	□ 864	SSID Title XVI RSI (405(g))	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act						
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment		441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	790 Cities Labor Engation		ERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice						
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property		Accommodations 44 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights				Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions						
V. ORIGIN	!		☐ 555 Prison Condition			20 030 7009							
at 1					erred fro r district								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)							Judgment						
42 USC 19	183	Civi	islations										
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		CHECK IF THI UNDER F.R.C.	S IS A CLASS ACTION P. 23	DEMANDS 80K		CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY		(See instructions):	JUDGE			CKET MBER							
DATE			SIGNATURE OF ATTORI										
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			· '\	· /- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
RECEIPT # AI	MOU	NT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE						

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

		2,6,1,		-00		ATTACHI	MENT 3
1.	TITLE OF CASE	(NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE C	ONLY) Cooke	MED!		CEN	
			N OLE	RKS UT	낏		
2.	CATEGORY IN	WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON	I THE NUMBERED NAT	URE OF 81	1, HO	MARED ON TH	1905VIL
	COVER SHEET	(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).	2005 FEB	24	1.77		
	1.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF N	$H \subseteq H$	STRICT	COURT		
	<u>√</u> II.	195, 368, 400 (440) 441-444, 540, 550, 55 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890,	i5, 625, 710, 720, (150) k	IL PASSO CO	mblete A ent, trade	AO 120 or AO 1 mark or copyri	21 ight cases
		110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 24 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 36 380, 385, 450, 891.	40, 245, 290, 310, 62, 365, 370, 371,	· •	n	.36	
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 62 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.	20, 630, 640, 650, 660,	*	.	. • •	. لىگ ل
	v.	150, 152, 153.					
3.	TITLE AND NU HAS BEEN FIL	WIBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE ED IN THIS DISTRICT PLEASE INDICATE TH	LOCAL RULE 40.1(G)). HE TITLE AND NUMBER	IF MORE 1 R OF THE FI	HAN ON RST FILE	E PRIOR RELA ED CASE IN TH	TED CASE IS COURT.
4.		ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AN	ID BASED ON THE SAM	IE CLAIM E'	/ER BEE	N FILED IN TH	IS
	COURT?		YES	Π.	NO	×	
5.		MPLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CO	ONSTITUTIONALITY OF	AN ACT OF	CONGR	ESS AFFECTIN	IG THE
		•	YES		NO	×	
	IF SO, IS THE	J.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLO	YEE OF THE U.S. A PA	RTY?		· ^	
			YES		NO		\
6.	IS THIS CASE 28 USC §2284	REQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINI	ED BY A DISTRICT COL	JRT OF THE	EE JUDO	GES PURSUAN	T TO TITLE
	_		YES		NO	×	
7.	COMMONWE	E PARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXCLUDING (LITH OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOVERNMEN N? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	GOVERNMENTAL AGEI NTAL AGENCIES"), RE:	NCIES OF T SIDING IN N	HE UNITI	ED STATES AN	ID THE DE IN THE
	SAME DIVISIO	NY - (SEE EOOAL ROLL 40. ((D)).	YES	×	NO		
	Α.	IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION DO ALL O	F THE NON-GOVERNM	ENTAL PAR	TIES RE	SIDE?	
			,			ERN DIVISION	
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVISION DO THE MA GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, RESIDI	AJORITY OF THE PLAINING IN MASSACHUSET	ITIFFS OR 1 TS RESIDE?	HE ONL		CLUDING
,=-	N FASS TUSS O		CENTRAL DIVISION		WEST	ERN DIVISION	
•	PLEASE TYPE O TTORNEY'S NAI		, Pro Se				
	DDRESS	7 Westwood RJ	S. Easton	MA	02	375	
	ELEPHONE NO.	TOG 770 7/15					
		ocal.wpd - 11/27/00)					
•							